

**The NextGen Model Atmosphere grid:
II. Spherically symmetric model atmospheres for giant stars with
effective temperatures between 3000 and 6800 K**

Peter H. Hauschildt

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy & Center for Simulation Physics, University of Georgia,
Athens, GA 30602-2451

Email: yeti@hal.physast.uga.edu

France Allard

C.R.A.L (UML 5574) Ecole Normale Supérieure, 69364 Lyon Cedex 7, France

E-Mail: fallard@ens-lyon.fr

Jason Ferguson

Physics Dept., Wichita State University, Wichita, KS 67260-0032

Email: jfergus@twsu.edu

E. Baron

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma,
440 W. Brooks, Rm 131, Norman, OK 73019-0225

E-Mail: baron@mail.nhn.ou.edu

David R. Alexander

Physics Dept., Wichita State University, Wichita, KS 67260-0032

Email: dra@twsumv.uc.twsu.edu

ApJ, in press (November 1999).

Also available at <ftp://calvin.physast.uga.edu/pub/preprints/> and as HTML at
<http://dilbert.physast.uga.edu/~yeti/PAPERS>.

ABSTRACT

We present the extension of our NextGen model atmosphere grid to the regime of giant stars. The input physics of the models presented here is nearly identical to the NextGen dwarf atmosphere models, however spherical geometry is used self-consistently in the model calculations (including the radiative transfer). We re-visit the discussion of the effects of spherical geometry on the structure of the atmospheres and the emitted spectra and discuss the results of NLTE calculations for a few selected models.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper (Hauschildt, Allard, & Baron, 1999, hereafter: NG) we have presented a grid of plane parallel model atmospheres for dwarf stars ($\log(g) \geq 3.5$) and in the temperature range $3000 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 10000$ K. The NG models are intended for the analysis and modeling of main sequence stars and, where applicable, sub-giants. Spherical extension effects become more important for smaller gravities, which is the reason why the NG-grid stopped at $\log(g) = 3.5$. In this paper, we present an extension of the NG grid to smaller gravities by including the effects of spherical geometry in the model calculations. This includes, for example, the calculation of the hydrostatic structure in spherical geometry and the effects of spherical radiative transfer on the model atmospheres.

In order to retain compatibility with the NG grid, the NG-giant grid presented here uses the same parameterization of the element abundances as the NG grid. Therefore, the models presented here are not applicable to chemically peculiar stars such as, e.g., Carbon stars. However, there are many possible applications for these models. For example, the Period-luminosity (PL) relation of Cepheids is of fundamental importance for the determination of the extragalactic distance scale. Alibert et al. (1999) have used the atmosphere models presented in this paper to connect evolutionary models to observed colors for Cepheids with very good results.

In the next section we give a brief overview over the model construction and the differences from the NG grid. Then we discuss the results, in particular the effects of spherical symmetry and we end with a summary of the paper.

2. Model calculations

We have calculated the models presented in this paper using our multipurpose model atmosphere code PHOENIX, version 10.5. Details of the code and the general input physics setup are discussed in Hauschildt, Allard, & Baron (1999) and Hauschildt & Baron (1999) and references therein. The model atmospheres for Cepheids presented here were calculated with the same general input physics. However, they use spherical geometry (including spherically symmetric radiative transfer) rather than plane parallel geometry. For giant models with low gravities ($\log(g) \leq 3.5$), this can be important for the correct calculation of the structure of the model atmosphere and the synthetic spectrum (c.f., e.g. Aufdenberg et al., 1998a,b, for a discussion of spherical effects in B giants).

Our combined molecular line list includes about 500 million molecular lines. These lines are treated with a direct opacity sampling technique where each line has its individual

Voigt (for strong lines) or Gauss (weak lines) line profile. The lines are selected for every model from the master line list at the beginning of each model iteration to account for changes in the model structure (see Hauschildt, Allard, & Baron, 1999, or details of the line selection process). This procedure selects about 190 million molecular lines for a typical giant model atmosphere with $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 3000$ K. Accordingly, we generally use the parallelized version of PHOENIX (Hauschildt, Baron, & Allard, 1997; Baron & Hauschildt, 1998) to perform calculations efficiently on parallel supercomputers. Details of the calculations are given in the above references and are not repeated here.

The parameterization of giant models requires an additional parameter compared to plane parallel model atmospheres. This complicates the task of relating theoretical models to observed data, see Baschek, Scholz, & Wehrse (1991) for a discussion of these issues. In the models presented here, we set the stellar radius R by the condition $g_{\text{grav}} = GM/R^2$, where we define g_{grav} as the gravitational acceleration at $\tau_{\text{std}} = 1$, G is the constant of gravity, and τ_{std} is the optical depth in the continuum at 1.2μ . The luminosity L of the model is then given by $L = 4\pi R^2 \sigma T_{\text{eff}}^4$. For convenience, our model grid is based on the set of parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}, \log(g), M, [\text{M}/\text{H}]$). The above formulae and the structures of the model atmospheres can be used to transform them to any target set of parameters (e.g., for a different definition of τ_{std}).

2.1. Temperature correction procedure

We iterate for the temperature structure of the atmosphere using a generalization of the Unsöld-Lucy temperature correction scheme to spherical geometry and NLTE model calculations. This has proven to work very well even in extreme NLTE cases such as nova and supernova atmospheres. The temperature correction procedure also requires virtually no memory and CPU time overhead. The Unsöld-Lucy correction scheme (see Mihalas, 1970, for a discussion of this and other temperature correction schemes), uses the global constraint equation of energy conservation to find corrections to the temperature that will fulfill energy conservation better than the previous estimate. We have found it to be more stable than a Newton-Raphson linearization scheme and it allows us to separate the temperature corrections from the statistical equations. The latter property is extremely useful in NLTE calculations where it allows us to use a nested iteration scheme for the energy conservation and the statistical equilibrium equations. This significantly increases numerical stability and allows us to use much larger model atoms than more conventional methods.

To derive the Unsöld-Lucy correction, one uses the fact that the *ratios* of the wavelength

averaged absorption and extinction coefficients

$$\kappa_P = \left(\int_0^\infty \kappa_\lambda B_l d\lambda \right) / B \quad (1)$$

$$\kappa_J = \left(\int_0^\infty \kappa_\lambda J_l d\lambda \right) / J \quad (2)$$

$$\chi_J = \left(\int_0^\infty \chi_\lambda F_l d\lambda \right) / F \quad (3)$$

$$(4)$$

(where B, J, F denote the wavelength integrated Planck function, mean intensity and radiation flux, respectively) depend much less on values of the independent variables than do the averages themselves.

Dropping terms of order (v/c) , one can then use the angular moments of the radiative transfer equation to show that in order to obtain radiation equilibrium B should be corrected by an amount

$$\begin{aligned} \delta B(r) = & \frac{1}{\kappa_P} \{ \kappa_J J - \kappa_P B + \dot{S} / (4\pi) \} \\ & - \frac{\kappa_J}{\kappa_P} \{ 2(H(\tau = 0) - H_0(\tau = 0)) \\ & - \frac{1}{f q r^2} \int_r^R q r'^2 \chi_F (H(r') - H_0(r')) dr' \}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $H \equiv F/4\pi$, $H_0(\tau)$ is the value of the target luminosity at that particular depth point (variable due to the velocity terms in comoving frame radiative transfer calculations and non-mechanical energy sources, the total *observed* luminosity $H_0(0)$ is an input parameter), Here, q is the “sphericity factor” given by

$$q = \frac{1}{r^2} \exp \left(\int_{r_{\text{core}}}^r \frac{3f-1}{r'f} dr' \right),$$

where r_{core} is the inner radius of the atmosphere, R is the total radius, $f(\tau) = K(\tau)/J(\tau)$ is the “Eddington factor”, and $K = \int \mu^2 I d\mu$ is the second angular moment of the mean intensity. $\dot{S}$ describes all additional sources of energy such as mechanical energy supplied by winds or non-thermal ionization due to γ -ray deposition.

The first term in Eq. 5 corresponds simply to a Λ iteration term and will thus provide temperature corrections that are smaller than required in the inner parts of the atmosphere, but will be accurate in the outer, optically thin parts. The second term of Eq. 5, however, is the dominant term in the inner parts of the atmosphere. It provides a very good approximation to the temperature corrections ΔT deep inside the atmosphere. Following

Unsöld (1968), we have found that it is sometimes better to modify this general scheme by excluding the contributions of extremely strong lines in the opacity averages used for the calculations of ΔT because they tend to dominate the average opacity but do not contribute as much to the total error in the energy conservation constraint.

3. Results

We have calculated a grid of solar abundance (table 5 of Jaschek & Jaschek, 1995) model atmospheres. In addition, we have calculated grids with metallicities $[M/H] = -0.3$, -0.5 and -0.7 to facilitate comparison to observed LMC and SMC giants. The models span a range of $2000 \text{ K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 6800 \text{ K}$ and $0.0 \leq \log(g) \leq 3.5$. The models are not available for all combinations of these parameters, e.g., at high T_{eff} and low $\log(g)$ the total radiative acceleration is larger than the local gravity and hydrostatic models become invalid. In order to minimize CPU time, the models were calculated for a fixed mass of $M = 5 M_{\odot}$. In the following, we discuss the effects of different model parameters on the resulting structures and spectra. The effects of the model parameters on the colors are discussed in the context of evolutionary models by Alibert et al. (1999).

3.1. Structure of the atmospheres

If Fig. 1 we show the temperature structure for models with varying $\log(g)$ for 3 effective temperatures. For the models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600 \text{ K}$, and the smallest gravity, the atmosphere does not become convective within the maximum optical depth of the model, $\tau_{\text{std}} = 100$. The apparent flattening of the temperature drop in the outer layers of the atmosphere is a result of the automatic “compression” of the optical depth scale toward the outer edge of the model atmosphere. This means that the radii of the different optical depth points are much closer to each other in the outer atmosphere compared to $\tau_{\text{std}} \approx 1$. This is most pronounced in the coolest models shown, whereas the “scale compression” is nearly non-existent for the model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600 \text{ K}$. We have also compared model atmospheres calculated with the same parameters (T_{eff} , $\log(g)$) but for different stellar masses. For $T_{\text{eff}} = 3600 \text{ K}$, $\log(g) = 0.0$ and solar abundances, we find only small temperature differences for stellar masses between 2.5 and $7.5 M_{\odot}$. However, the *absolute* differences in the synthetic spectra are equivalent to a significant change in $\log(g)$ (see below) whereas the *relative* differences in the spectra are small. The radial extension of the atmospheres, here defined simply as the ratio of the outer radius R_{out} and the inner radius R_{in} of the atmosphere, is typically less than 20% (see Fig. 2). We have ignored all models that become unstable due

to large radiative accelerations (low $\log(g)$ models with very low or high temperatures), such atmospheres have to be described by stellar wind models (Aufdenberg et al., in preparation) and typically have much larger radial extensions.

3.2. Sphericity effects

It is important to assess the relevance of the effects of spherical geometry and radiative transfer on the synthetic spectra. For hot stars, Aufdenberg et al. (1998a,b) have shown that a combination of geometric effects and line blanketing can resolve a long standing problem of discrepancies between synthetic and observed spectra. The effects of spherical symmetry on cool giant atmospheres has been investigated previously by Scholz & Tsuji (1984) for giant M and C stars, by Plez, Brett, & Nordlund (1992) for M giants, and by Jørgensen, Johnson, & Nordlund (1992) for Carbon stars. Our model grid extends this work toward warmer stars and reduces the gap to the stars considered in Aufdenberg et al. (1998a). In addition, improved molecular line lists are now available and since Aufdenberg et al. (1998a) found a close connection between line blanketing and sphericity for hot stars, the improved molecular line data could affect the results.

In Fig. 3 we compare normalized (to the same area) low-resolution ($20\text{\AA}$) spectra for 3 sets of models. Each panel displays a NG-giant synthetic spectrum (full lines) and a synthetic spectrum calculated with the same parameters and input physics, but assuming plane parallel geometry. In this figure, only the model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000\text{ K}$ shows visible differences between the plane parallel and spherical cases. However, plotting the relative differences of the spectra in Fig. 4 reveals systematic differences even for low resolution spectra. For $T_{\text{eff}} = 3000\text{ K}$ (top panel), we find that the spherical model emits more flux than the plane parallel model in the short wavelength regime. There are also some changes in the slopes of the spectra between 0.45 and $0.55\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, which are hardly noticeable in the low resolution spectra. The middle panel shows the results for $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000\text{ K}$. This model displays a different systematic change in the blue spectral region, the long wavelength part of the displayed wavelength interval does not reveal large systematic effects. The highest effective temperature shown, $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600\text{ K}$, shows the smallest systematic changes.

Figure 5 is similar to the previous plot but it shows the changes for a resolution of $2\text{\AA}$ (10 times better). From this figure it becomes clear that the changes are due to the effect of line blanketing: line overlap and individual line strengths change between spherical and plane parallel models. In low resolution spectra this produces a change in the pseudo-continuum that is formed by the overlapping spectral lines. In the $T_{\text{eff}} = 3000\text{ K}$ model the TiO lines are significantly affected. This shows that spectral analyses based on

high-resolution spectra need to account for spherical effects.

For longer wavelengths we find that the differences between spherical and plane parallel models are smaller. Figures 6 and 7 demonstrate this for low resolution and medium resolution spectra, respectively. The changes are mostly due to the sensitivity of the CO lines to changes in the structure of the atmosphere, therefore, they are larger at lower effective temperatures. The figure with the higher resolution shows that there are significant changes in individual water lines for low T_{eff} . At higher effective temperatures, individual atomic lines are up to 15% stronger in the plane parallel models (less emitted flux, since these are absorption lines). The conclusion is that high-resolution spectral analysis requires spherical models, whereas low resolution spectra or colors can be interpreted using simple plane parallel models.

In order to demonstrate the reason for these differences, we display in Fig. 8 the electron temperatures as functions of our standard optical depth τ_{std} , which is simply the optical depth in the continuum (b-f and f-f processes) at a reference wavelength of $1.2\,\mu\text{m}$. The temperature structures for the 2 models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600\,\text{K}$ are nearly identical, the differences are less than about 80 K everywhere. In the model with the lowest effective temperature (3000 K) the differences are larger, close to 200 K at $\tau_{\text{std}} \approx 10^{-4}$. This amounts to nearly 10% change in the absolute temperature, which is quite significant for the formation of the spectrum. Note that the line optical depth in the blue spectral region can be orders of magnitude larger than τ_{std} and many “blue lines” actually form around $\tau_{\text{std}} \approx 10^{-4}$. The model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000\,\text{K}$ lies in between the two extremes and is not shown in the figure. The temperature structure is only a part of the full structure of the atmosphere, gas pressures and partial pressures change accordingly. For larger gravities, the differences between plane parallel and spherical models become smaller and for gravities $\log(g) > 3.0$ the differences are small enough to be negligible for most applications.

3.3. Spectra

The changes of the synthetic spectra with gravity are illustrated in Fig. 9. The differences are larger for the cooler model in the sense that at lower T_{eff} , higher gravity model atmospheres emit more optical flux than lower gravity models. This is caused by the enhanced near-IR absorption of water vapor in the models with larger gravity and hence larger gas pressures in the line forming region. For larger effective temperatures the differences diminish and are confined to individual gravity sensitive features in the spectrum.

Changes in the metallicity $[M/H]$ result in changes of the synthetic spectra that depend strongly on the effective temperature, as shown in Fig. 10. For high effective temperatures, the changes are small in the $[M/H]$ range we have investigated. At lower T_{eff} , however, the changes are dramatic as illustrated in the top panel of Fig. 10. This is due to the increasing importance of molecules at lower temperatures. The concentration of molecules and thus their opacities depend strongly on the metal abundances. Molecules are less important at higher T_{eff} and thus the spectra are less sensitive to metallicity changes.

The very small sensitivity of the atmospheric structure on the mass of the star is mirrored by only small changes in the resulting low-resolution spectra (Fig. 11). The differences correspond to scaling factors close to unity over a large wavelength range and are thus basically negligible for most purposes. The absolute changes in limited wavelength intervals of the spectra, however, can be equivalent to changes in $\log(g)$, thus the mass of the star needs to be considered as a parameters for applications that use absolute spectra.

3.4. NLTE effects

We have calculated a small number of NLTE models in order to investigate the importance of NLTE effects on the structure of the model atmospheres. The results for cooler models were discussed in Hauschildt et al. (1997) and are not repeated here. Figures 12 and 13 show an overview of selected NLTE species for models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000$ K and 5600 K for $\log(g) = 0.0$ and solar abundances. The total number of NLTE levels in each model is 4532 with a total of 47993 primary NLTE lines (see Hauschildt & Baron, 1999, and references therein for details). The following species (and number of levels) were treated in NLTE: H I (30), Mg I (273), Mg II (72), Ca I (194), Ca II (87), Fe I (494), Fe II (617), O I (36), O II (171), Ti I (395), Ti II (204), C I (228), C II (85), N I (252), N II (152), Si I (329), Si II (93), S I (146), S II (84), Al I (111), Al II (188), K I (73), K II (22), Na I (53), and Na II (35). For most of the species, the departure coefficients are always close to unity, in particular for species with resonance lines and photoionization edges in the UV part of the spectrum. The species shown in Figure 12 and 13 are the ones with the most pronounced departures from LTE. The departures are generally too small to significantly affect the structure of the atmospheres. However, NLTE effects do change the profiles of individual lines as shown in Hauschildt et al. (1997) for Ti I lines. Therefore, abundance analyses of individual elements should take NLTE effects into account whenever possible.

4. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we presented the extension of our grid of dwarf model atmospheres (Hauschildt, Allard, & Baron, 1999) to giant stars. The models were computed using spherical symmetry, including spherical radiative transfer. We discuss the results of the calculations. We have calculated a small number of NLTE models and verified the conclusions of Hauschildt et al. (1997): In the considered range of effective temperatures, NLTE effects do not alter the structure of the atmospheres significantly but they can change the profiles of individual lines. NLTE should therefore be considered in abundances analyses. Our grid has been used successfully in evolutionary models of Cepheids (Alibert et al., 1999). We provide the model structures and spectra through the WWW and anonymous FTP for general use, see <http://dilbert.physast.uga.edu/~yeti> or <ftp://calvin.physast.uga.edu/pub/NG-giant>. In the next version of the grid we will use improved molecular line opacities as well as dust opacities for low effective temperatures. In addition, stellar winds models are needed for those models that are unstable against radiation pressure, which occurs at both high and low effective temperatures.

This work was supported in part by the CNRS and by NSF grant AST-9720704, NASA ATP grant NAG 5-3018 and LTSA grant NAG 5-3619 to the University of Georgia, and NASA LTSA grant NAG5-3435 and NASA EPSCoR grant NCCS-168 to Wichita State University. This work was also supported in part by NSF grants AST-9417242, AST-9731450, and NASA grant NAG5-3505; an IBM SUR grant to the University of Oklahoma. Some of the calculations presented in this paper were performed on the IBM SP2 and the SGI Origin 2000 of the UGA UCNS and on the IBM SP of the San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC), with support from the National Science Foundation, and on the Cray T3E of the NERSC with support from the DoE. We thank all these institutions for a generous allocation of computer time.

References

- Alibert, Y., Baraffe, I., Hauschildt, P. H., & Allard, F. 1999, A&A, in press.
- Aufdenberg, J. P., Hauschildt, P. H., Shore, S. N., & Baron, E. 1998a, ApJ, 498, 837.
- Aufdenberg, J. P., Hauschildt, P. H., Sankrit, R., & Baron, E. 1998b, MNRAS, in press.
- Baron, E., & Hauschildt, P. H. 1998, ApJ, 495, 370.
- Baschek, B., Scholz, M., & Wehrse, R. 1991, A&A, 246, 374.
- Hauschildt, P., Allard, F., & Baron, E. 1999, ApJ, 512, 377.
- Hauschildt, P. H., & Baron, E. 1999, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, in press.
- Hauschildt, P. H., Allard, F., Alexander, D. R., & Baron, E. 1997, ApJ, 488, 428.
- Hauschildt, P. H., Baron, E., & Allard, F. 1997, ApJ, 483, 390.
- Jaschek, C., & Jaschek, M. 1995, *The behavior of chemical elements in stars*, Cambridge University Press.
- Jørgensen, U. G., Johnson, H. R., & Nordlund, A. 1992, A&A, 261, 263.
- Mihalas, D. 1970, *Stellar Atmospheres*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1 edition.
- Plez, B., Brett, J. M., & Nordlund, A. 1992, A&A, 256, 551.
- Scholz, M., & Tsuji, T. 1984, A&A, 130, 11.
- Unsöld, A. 1968, *Physik der Sternatmosphären*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2 edition.

5. Figures

Fig. 1.— Temperature structures for solar abundance models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600 \text{ K}$, 4200 K , and 3000 K for varying gravities (as indicated). τ_{std} is the optical depth in the continuum (b-f and f-f processes) at a wavelength of $1.2 \mu\text{m}$.

Fig. 2.— Radial extensions $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}}$ for solar abundance models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600$ K, 4200 K, and 3000 K (as indicated).

Fig. 3.— Comparison between solar abundance giant models calculated using spherical geometry and radiative transfer (full curves) and plane parallel geometry and radiative transfer (dotted curves) in the blue/optical spectral region. The resolution of the spectra has been reduced to $20\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 4.— Relative flux change between spherical and plane parallel model calculations. The y-axis shows $(f_p - f_s)/f_s$, where f_p is the flux of the plane parallel model and f_s is the flux calculated for the spherical model. The resolution of the spectra was reduced to $20\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 5.— Relative flux change between spherical and plane parallel model calculations. The y-axis shows $(f_p - f_s)/f_s$, where f_p is the flux of the plane parallel model and f_s is the flux calculated for the spherical model. The resolution of the spectra is $2\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 6.— Relative flux change between spherical and plane parallel model calculations. The y-axis shows $(f_p - f_s)/f_s$, where f_p is the flux of the plane parallel model and f_s is the flux calculated for the spherical model. The resolution of the spectra was reduced to $20\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 7.— Relative flux change between spherical and plane parallel model calculations. The y-axis shows $(f_p - f_s)/f_s$, where f_p is the flux of the plane parallel model and f_s is the flux calculated for the spherical model. The resolution of the spectra is $2\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 8.— Temperature structures for spherical (full curves) and plane parallel (dotted lines) model calculations. τ_{std} is the optical depth in the continuum (b-f and f-f processes) at a wavelength of $1.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

Fig. 9.— Sensitivity of the synthetic spectra to gravity changes for solar abundance models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600$ K, 4200 K, and 3000 K (as indicated). The resolution of the spectra has been reduced to $20\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 10.— Sensitivity of the synthetic spectra to metallicity changes for models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600$ K, 4200 K, and 3200 K (as indicated) and $\log(g) = 0.0$. For the $T_{\text{eff}} = 3200$ K model the metallicities $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = 0.0$ and $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -0.3$ are shown whereas for the hotter two models the metallicities shown are $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = 0.0$ and $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -0.7$. The resolution of the spectra has been reduced to $20\text{\AA}$.

Fig. 11.— Synthetic spectra at $20\text{\AA}$ resolution for models with $T_{\text{eff}} = 3600\text{ K}$, $\log(g) = 0.0$, solar abundances, and stellar masses of $7.5\text{ M}_{\odot}$ and $2.5\text{ M}_{\odot}$.

Fig. 12.— Overview over selected departure coefficients for a NLTE model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000\text{ K}$, $\log(g) = 0.0$, and solar abundances.

Fig. 13.— Overview over selected departure coefficients for a NLTE model with $T_{\text{eff}} = 5600\text{ K}$, $\log(g) = 0.0$, and solar abundances.